

ANNEX B**School Road - Initial Layout Consultation - July 2017**

Response	Comments	Support	Object	General
1	Supporting implementation in very near future, no specific comments	1		
2	What is justification of putting double yellow lines between 9-10 School Road? The only thing there is a 2'6" alley - no vehicle access at all. There used to be a disabled bay but that is no longer there. You are removing a viable parking space quite unnecessarily. Please don't! The dropped kerb serves no purpose.			1
3	Why propose double yellow lines outside our house? Not required and will reduce already limited parking. Can we have double parking both sides of the road in the wider section of School Road, between 6-17? This may also slow down maniac drivers. 4 cats killed in 4 months due to speeding cars, soon to be a human. There is double parking further up the road (Cambridge) and it is narrower.			1
4	We would like to lodge objections to a small part of the proposal. There is an area outlined on School Road for proposed double yellow lines, which is positioned opposite the opening to a small alley way that only leads to the back of the properties adjacent to it. The alleyway has been blocked off for several years so does not link anywhere anymore. There is a dropped kerb there but there is nowhere to cross as the railway line is opposite, and there are dropped kerbs at the ends of the roads. This space is constantly used and by blocking this availability you are reducing the spaces available for residents even further. Can you also let us know if with this extension the proposed permits for this extended Parking Scheme will enable residents of School Road and Plantation Road will be able to park in surrounding roads like Saxon Road, that are already restricted by the existing Parking Scheme? Our worry is that with the restrictions outlined parking will make parking near to homes even more difficult than it currently is.			1

ANNEX B**School Road - Initial Layout Consultation - July 2017**

Response	Comments	Support	Object	General
5	I am writing on behalf of my Mother, Mrs ***** of ** School Road, Faversham. I would like you to take into account the inconvenience the proposed parking restrictions this would cause. My Mother, who is 90 relies on visitors. My daughters and I live in **** and consequently drive over. If you impose the proposed system this would cause problems and restrict our visits. If we purchase parking tickets we would only be able to park for 2 hours. As we all have our own cars it would not make sense to purchase a parking permit unless it is transferable between vehicles. Another problem I foresee is tradesmen who are working for the day, where would they park as they would require easy access to their vehicles for tools and materials. If any of the above has been taken into consideration please let me know. If the reason to impose the restriction is to prevent people parking all day while using the railway, why not impose parking restrictions for 1 hour in the day which would prevent all day parking?		1	
6	Thank you for the latest consultation letter, as recently received. I fully support this scheme, and am generally happy with the proposed layout. However, I would question the need for the small stretch of double yellow lines outside 9-10 School Road. Whilst I appreciate this is likely to have been included because of the alleyway between 9 and 10, there is no controlled parking at this location at the moment. I am not aware of any problems related to the existing unrestricted parking at this location, and the additional parking space created by the removal of these proposed double-yellow lines would be likely to benefit the area more than permanent direct access to the alley from the road.	1		
	TOTALS	2	1	3